

Introductions and Roles

ARC TIP Training




Agenda

- Welcome & Introductions
- ARC Role and Responsibilities
- ARC Partner and Local Government Relationships
- 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)
- Break (10 minutes)
- TIP Solicitation Process
- 🖡 Lunch
- TIP Maintenance
- 🕴 Planlt Demo
- Questions and Closing Remarks

All TIP training resources available at http://www.atlantaregional.org/tip



What is ARC?





ARC Regions Map (poster size) | ARC Open Data & Mapping Hub

A:C 3


ARC Designations

				Tł	ne Atl	anta	Urba	anize	d Are	ea (20	020 C	ensı	ıs) In	clude	es Po	ortior	ns of	21 C	ounti	ies		
R	ESPONSIBLE PLANNING AGENCY	Cherokee	Clayton	Cobb	DeKalb	Douglas	Fayette	Forsyth	Fulton	Gwinnett	Henry	Rockdale	Barrow	Bartow	Carroll	Coweta	Dawson	Hall	Newton	Paulding	Spalding	Walton
	Regional Commission / Metropolitan Area Planning and Development Commission																					
	Metropolitan Planning Organization													0	PARTIAL		PARTIAL	0				PARTIAL
1.0	Area Agency on Aging																					
	Atlanta Regional Workforce Development Board																					
	Urban Area Security Initiative																					
	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District																					
Cartersvil Organizati	le-Bartow Metropolitan Planning on													*								
Gainesvill	e-Hall Metropolitan Planning Organization																	¥				



A:C 4

ARC Committee Structure



Transportation and Air Quality Committee

MEMBERS

Carlotta Harrell* Chair, Henry County Kerry Armstrong* Citizen District 9 Ryan Bowlden Spalding County Dave Carmichael Paulding County F.T. "Tread" Davis Jr.* DCA Jim Durrett MARTA Tamara Hayes GA DNR Nicole Hendrickson* Gwinnett County Edward Johnson* City of Fayetteville Martha Martin GRTA Tom Meinhart* Fulton County Phil Miller* Douglas County Robb Pitts* Fulton County Paul Radford The ATL David Thompson Walton County Vince Williams* City of Union City


Harry Johnston* Vice Chair, Cherokee County Marchello Banes Newton County Michael Caldwell* City of Kennesaw Lisa Cupid* Cobb County Andre Dickens* City of Atlanta Pat Graham Barrow County Lee Hearn* Fayette County Alfred John* Forsyth County Dana Lemon GDOT STB Board Mike Mason* City of Peachtree Corners Jannine Miller GDOT Rusty Paul* City of Sandy Springs Paul Poole Coweta County Steven L. Stancil* Citizen District 15 Matt Westmoreland* Atlanta City Council



* ARC Board Members

6

ARC Organization Structure





A:C 7

What is an MPO?

- Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)
 - An organization designated by federal law to provide local officials and residents input into the planning and implementation of projects funded with federal transportation dollars for metropolitan areas with populations of greater than 50,000
- 🕴 Atlanta MPO Area
 - I6 full counties and 3 partial counties (Walton, Dawson, and Carroll)
- Coordination with adjacent MPOs through Interagency Process Cartersville-Bartow MPO and Gainesville-Hall MPO
- A Guide to Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO) Basics
 - https://cdn.atlantaregional.org/wp-content/uploads/arc-cep-mpo-guide-red-final.pdf



What is an MPO?

- ARC responsible for delivering:
 - Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)
 - 20+ year Long Range Transportation Plan
 - Updated every four years
 - Regionally significant projects
 - Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)
 - Short Range Plan Section of MTP
 - Covers 4 years (current TIP runs from FY 2024-2027)
 - Fiscally constrained
 - All projects with federal funded phases required to be in TIP (includes discretionary award grants such as RAISE or INFRA)



Foster thriving communities for all within the Atlanta region through collaborative, data-informed planning and investments.



What is an MPO?





A:C 10

Partner and Local Government Relationships


Federal

- US Department of Transportation (US DOT)
 - Federal Highway Administration
 - Federal Transit Administration
- US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA)
- State
 - Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT)
 - Georgia Environmental Protection Division (Georgia EPD)
 - Georgia Regional Transportation Authority (GRTA)
 - Atlanta-Region Transit Link Authority (ATL)

ONE **Great**region

- Local Governments
 - County
 - City
- Transit Operators
 - MARTA
 - CobbLinc
 - Ride Gwinnett
 - Xpress

Community Improvement Districts (CIDs)



Questions



12



Introduction to the MTP and TIP

ARC TIP Training



Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)



Foster thriving communities for all within the Atlanta region through collaborative, data-informed planning and investments.

VALUES -

MISSION

EXCELLENCE | INTEGRITY | EQUITY







Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)

- Long Range Transportation Plan (20+ years)
- Regionally significant projects
- Costs estimates show uncommitted federal funds but MTP fiscally constrained based on revenue forecasts
- Long Range Fiscal Years
 - LR 2029-2030
 - IR 2031-2033
 - IR 2034-2040
 - IR 2041-2050






What is the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)?

- Short range element of Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)
- Current TIP FY 2024-2027
 - Four fiscal years plus one informational (2028)
- Fiscally constrained
- Phases with federal funds required to be in TIP (includes federal discretionary fund award grants)
- Phase dates follow State fiscal year (July 1 to June 30)
- I00% local funded capacity projects required to be in TIP and ARC travel demand model coding (not exempt from air quality analysis)







A:C

5

MTP Revenue Sources - Federal

SUMMARY OF FEDERAL REVENUES FOR TRANSPORTATION PURPOSES

FUNDING SOURCE	ESTIMATED 2024-2050 Federal generated revenue
FHWA Formula Fund Programs	\$33.0 billion
FHWA Discretionary Programs	\$0
FTA Formula Fund Programs	\$6.2 billion
FTA Discretionary Programs (CIG)	\$4.1 billion
Total	\$43.3 billion



MTP Revenue Sources – State

SUMMARY OF STATE REVENUES FOR TRANSPORTATION PURPOSES

FUNDING SOURCE	ESTIMATED 2024–2050 STATE GENERATED REVENUE
Motor Fuel Taxes + Electric Vehicle Registration Fees	\$46.4 billion
Lodging Fees	\$4.9 billion
Highway Impact Fees	\$0.8 billion
Transportation Services Tax	\$0.5 billion
Tolling	See Note
General Fund	\$0
Total	\$52.6 billion

Note: Committed to system operations and maintenance and debt service.



MTP Revenue Sources – Local

SUMMARY OF LOCALLY GENERATED FUNDS FOR TRANSPORTATION PURPOSES

FUNDING SOURCE	FUNDING SOURCE	ESTIMATED 2024-2050 Locally generated revenue		
	SPLOST	\$19.6 to \$25.1 billion		
County and City Governments	T-SPLOST	\$10.5 to \$12.2 billion		
	General Fund / Other	\$5.2 to \$6.0 billion		
MARTA	Dedicated Sales Tax	\$31.3 billion		
MARIA	Farebox / Other	\$5.2 billion		
Other Transit Operators	Farebox / Other	\$2.0 billion		
TOTAL		\$73.8 to \$81.8 billion		



Federal Fund Sources

- ARC Programming Authority
 - Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) Program Urban (Pop >200K) (Y230)
 - Approximately \$103 million per fiscal year
 - Transportation Alternatives (TAP) Program Urban (Pop >200K) (Y301)
 - Approximately \$16 million per fiscal year
 - Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality (CMAQ) Program* (Y400)
 - \$29 million per fiscal year

*Programming authority under GDOT but ARC conducts project call on their behalf

Federal Fund Sources

- ARC Programming Authority (cont.)
 - Carbon Reduction Program Urban (Pop >200K) (Y601)
 - Approximately \$12 million per fiscal year
 - Others






ARC IIJA Resource Page

Infrastructu	ire Investment	Jo	bs Act	Resou	rce	Dat	tabase	
	• Metro Atlanta Opportunities		pportunities					
FILTER PROGRAMS BY:	Category / Sub Category	•	Funding Type		×	Eligible Re	ecipients	•
			Competitive					
OR, SEARCH BY NAME:			Directed			Q	SEARC	н
			🗌 Formula					
			View All					
ARC Home / Infrastructure	e and Investments Job Act / Resource Data	abase						
PROGRAMS FILTERED BY:	× Competitive							
SHOWING 50 OF 166	RESULTS UPDATED SEPTEMBER 11				🔁 EMA	IL PAGE	🖶 PRINT PAGE 🔗	COPY PAGE LINK
PROGRAM I DESCRI	PTION		CATEGORY	AGENCY / BUREAU	FUNDIN	IG I	ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS	APPLY I
Highway research Research & to impro	hway Research and Development Program perfor h and development to produce transformative sol ove safety, foster innovation, accelerate projects, a neet operations, policy, and infrastructure needs.	utions and	Transportation Roads, Bridges and Major Projects	Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration	\$610,00	00,000 🚯	To Be Determined	Pending (TBD) 🚯



http://www.atlantaregional.org/iija





Questions



12



TIP Solicitation Process

ARC TIP Training



What is a TIP Solicitation?

- TIP Solicitation An open call for transportation project proposals to be funded from one of the FHWA programs that ARC has programming authority over
- Open to eligible state agencies, counties, cities, community improvement districts (CIDs), etc.
- Applications evaluated by staff and approved by MPO policy body (TAQC)
- Competitive evaluation process; allows staff to identify and support projects which are consistent with and directly implement the policy goals of the Atlanta Region's Plan
 - ↓ 23 U.S.C. § 134(j)





Vision ONE **Great**REGION

Mission

Foster thriving communities for all within the Atlanta region through collaborative, data-informed planning and investments.

Values Excellence | Integrity | Equity

Goals



Healthy, safe, livable communities in the Atlanta Metro area.



Strategic investments in people, infrastructure, mobility, and preserving natural resources.



Regional services delivered with **operational excellence** and **efficiency**.



Diverse stakeholders engage and take a regional approach to solve local issues.




A competitive economy that is inclusive, innovative, and resilient.





TIP Evaluation Framework

- TIP Evaluation Framework outlines how projects are appraised for their accordance with MTP Policy Framework and comparative performance across applications
- Offers a transparent view of how project proposals are graded by ARC staff
- Organized around a Key Decision Point (KDP) structure
- Available at <u>www.atlantaregional.org/tipsolicitation</u>






4

TIP Project Evaluation Process

- ARC opens a universal call for project proposals
- Most responses collected through an application
- Applications run through KDPs



- KDP 1 Filters applications not accordant with MTP Policy Framework
- KDP 2 Measures performance by criteria aligned with MTP Policy Framework
- KDP 3 Applies final factors (applicant priority, cost effectiveness, regional equity and project deliverability)





KDP 1 – Policy Filters

	Policy Filter Language				
s for sr e	Project must originate from a locally adopted plan or an official transit agency plan				
General Filters for Infrastructure Expansion or Maintenance	Sponsors must have Qualified Local Government (QLG) status current or pending				
eneral Infras Expar Maint	Projects on the state system or right-of-way will not be considered without a letter of support from GDOT ¹				
Ō	Project must be federal aid eligible				
ters	Roads that are four or more lanes must include a median to adhere to the Regional Safety Strategy and GDOT safety standards				
ay Capacity Filt	Regional Safety Strategy and GDOT safety standards Projects must comply with FHWA's and GDOT's Complete Streets policies at be consistent with ARC's Regional Workbook for Complete Streets, by accommodating all modes in a safe and context sensitive manner; this inclu incorporation of Proven Safety Countermeasures that reduce risks for all roadway users. Roadway expansions in rural-only areas as designated by the UGPM ² will no considered. Instead applicants will be encouraged to consider operational a				
Roadw	Roadway expansions in rural-only areas as designated by the UGPM ² will not be considered. Instead applicants will be encouraged to consider operational and access management solutions.				
acity	Rail and BRT capacity projects must be a part of the MTP and/or the most recent ATL Regional Transit Plan ³				
Transit Capacity Filters	Project must demonstrate a firm financial package				
Tran	Project must connect to an existing public transit service or regional center				



A:C 6

KDP 2 – Project Evaluation

- KDP 2 applies 4 Policy Framework-referenced performance criterion across 9 distinct application categories
- Based on data sourced by staff and individual applicants
- All criteria specifics outlined in TIP Evaluation Framework

			Score Criteria	Components
unities	ucture	ymy	Mobility & Access	Evaluates whether the project relieves congestion, how many people it serves, can efficiently improve travel times and reliability, and connects people to destinations, including those with disabilities.
Healthy Livable Communities	World-Class Infrastructure	Competitive Economy	Equity	Evaluates if the project serves historically underserved populations based on where the project physically is located, who the project serves, whether is negatively impacts these populations, and the kinds of outreach the sponsor has conducted.
Неа	Ŵ		Safety	Evaluates if the addition of this project addresses systemic and project-area specific transportation safety issues
			Resiliency	Evaluates how much the project will reduce emissions, greenhouse gases, and if it addresses stormwater management issues present in the project area.



KDP 2.1 – LCI Project Evaluation

LCI Criterion	Measure	Points			
LCI Plan Implementation	Affordable housing ordinance	10 pts			
	Mixed-use and multi-family zoning permitted	10 pts			
	Walkable community design regs, sidewalk ordinance, hist. pres. district	5 pts			
Complete Streets	Provides bicycle and pedestrian facilities	0-15 (range based on separation, quality)			
	Safety Countermeasures	0-15 (range based on quality and # of features)			
Innovation & Quality of	Green stormwater management infrastructure	10 pts			
Scope	Smart technology	5 pts			
Transit Access or TOD	TOD project or provides bike/ped facilities within 1 mile of bus or rail stop	0-15 pts (range based on distance from transit)			
Social Equity	Moderate to Highest concentration of racial/ethnic minorities and low- income populations based on ARC's Equity mapping tool.	0-15 (graduated, based on concentration)			
	Not in EJ area, but project serves low-income or subsidized housing as identified on HUD subsidy property database	10 points (in lieu of above criterion, not added to it)			



KDP 3 - Final Factors

- Project priority as disclosed in project application
- Cost effectiveness derived from KDP 2 performance and project cost estimate
- Project deliverability surmised from application deliverability assessment
 - GDOT approved concept report may be submitted in lieu of deliverability assessment
- Regional equity informed by geographic distribution





Funding Recommendations

- Staff utilize project evaluation findings to craft a draft set of recommendations
 - Applications may be recommended for full funding as requested, partially funded, converted into a scoping study or passed for funding
- Due diligence with sponsors, elected officials, TCC/TAQC and ARC executive team follow
- Recommendations finalized and published
 - Assigned to various TIP incorporation tracks (Amendment or Administrative Modification)



TIP Solicitation Application Process

- Application window opens approximately every 2 years
- Application windows typically supported by ARC staff through open house events
- Application is online; allows applicants to save progress and return
 - In select cases a sponsor may participate directly through PLANIT
- Application designed to assist applicants submit a competitive application



ARC TIP Solicitation Application for New Study and Non-Capital Investment Proposals

Username:	
Password:	
SUBMIT	
	Powered by Formsite
	tation@atlantaregional.com estions or support.



TIP Solicitation Page

- All resources pertaining to TIP solicitations are hosted at <u>https://atlantaregional.org/what-we-</u> <u>do/transportation-planning/transportation-</u> <u>improvement-program/tip-project-solicitations/</u>
- During a solicitation window, the page includes:
 - Application portal login
 - Solicitation dates and milestones
 - Supporting resources to help craft a competitive application
 - Award announcements and previous
 - A summary of received applications
 - Previous solicitation awards

ONE **Great**region

Home > What We Do > Transportation Planning > Transportation Improvement Program > TIP Project

TIP Project Solicitations



The Atlanta Regional Commission will conduct a solicitation for TIP project funding proposals in the Spring of 2024. Federal funding from the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG), which includes the setaside for Transportation Alternatives, ARC's Livable Centers Initiative (LCI) program, and the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) program will be awarded to implement projects which support the goals and objectives of the Atlanta Region's Plan.

Sponsors may apply for federal funding to add new projects to the TIP, supplement funding for existing TIP projects, and submit proposals for studies and related initiatives which do not result in the construction of physical infrastructure.

2024 TIP Solicitation

• Funding Recommendations 🖪

Application Guidance Resources

Please check this page frequently for updates and additions.


- ARC Guidance and Resources
 - Before You Apply Guidance Provided for 2024 TIP Solicitation 🖪 Updated Winter 2024
 - ARC TIP Project Evaluation Framework A Updated Winter 2024
 - Blank Infrastructure Application (.pdf) (for review only) 🖪 Updated Winter 2024
 - Blank Infrastructure Application (.docx) (editable document for review only) 🗟
 - Frequently Asked Questions 🖾 Updated Winter 2024
 - Project Identification Guidance for Applications 2 Updated Winter 2024
 - Infrastructure Application Guidance 2024
 - Natural Resources Deliverability FAQ
 - CMAQ Program Overview
 - Freight Cluster Plan Proposal Guidelines
 - Local Government Checklist 🖾 Updated Winter 2024

Important Closing Items

- Funds programmed by ARC through the TIP solicitation process are made to a specific project, project activity and fiscal year – NOT a project sponsor
- MPO funding forms a partnership between the sponsor and MPO
- Keeping a project on schedule is critical as MPO funding availability in future TIP years fluctuates each quarter
- In Drastic changes in project scope/schedule/budget can impact ARC support







Questions



14



TIP Maintenance

ARC TIP Training



TIP Maintenance

Project details change frequently; sponsors are responsible for ensuring the TIP reflects those changes

Typical project change requests include:

- Project schedule shifts
- Budget changes
- Scope revisions
- Project title/description changes
- Projects are changed in the TIP through either an administrative modification or amendment

Administrative Modifications vs Amendments







A:C 3

Administrative Modification vs Amendments

- Administrative modifications typically involve minor changes to the TIP which are handled via direct coordination between staff at ARC, GDOT and TIP project sponsors
- Administrative modifications are scheduled on a regular quarterly basis; typically take a month and a half to process
- Amendments are reserved for major changes to the TIP; requires significant public and state involvement
- Generally, ARC schedules two amendments per year



Administrative Modifications

Common examples of changes processed via Administrative Modification:

- Updating a project identification number
- Adjusting title or detailed description of a project
- Most changes to limits or length of an existing exempt project*
- Minor changes to limits or length of an existing non-exempt project
- Changing sponsorship (should be submitted by current sponsor of record with new sponsor copied in request)
- Reprogramming one or more phases into a different fiscal year within the TIP (no conformity impacts)

*Exempt project = Not included in the region's air quality conformity analysis



Administrative Modifications (cont.)

Common examples of changes processed via Administrative Modification: Any changes to non-federal funding share

Minor changes to existing federal funding attached to a specific project activity


Programming new exempt projects from a TIP lump sum program





Special Administrative Modification

- Under limited circumstances, ARC may process a modification which makes expedited changes to a single project or limited number of projects (AKA a Special Administrative Modification)
 - Special administrative modifications are executed on an ad-hoc basis
- Special Administrative Modifications are reserved for TIP changes which are time sensitive and cannot wait for the next regularly scheduled administrative modification
 - Time sensitive changes are nearly always classified as those which impact current fiscal year funding authorization



ONEGreatregion

A:C ::

TIP Change Schedule

- Administrative modifications are held at quarterly intervals
- Schedule for the calendar year at <u>www.atlantaregional.org/tip</u>
- Change requests made through PLANIT; typically processed within a month and a half of the request due date
- Updated project lists, fact sheets and related materials are posted to the TIP page when an administrative modification is finalized (processed)





Administrative Modification Schedule*

- 1st Quarter 2025 TIP Administrative modifications
- Due date Feb 28th
- Processed March 28th
- 2nd Quarter 2025 TIP Administrative Modifications
- Due date May 2nd
- Scheduled processing date May 30th
- <u>3rd Quarter 2025 TIP Administrative Modifications</u>
- Due date August 22nd
- Scheduled processing date September 26th
- 4th Quarter 2025 TIP Administrative Modifications
- Due date October 31st
- Scheduled processing date December 5th

* All dates subject to change - visit www.atlantaregional.org/tip for the latest schedule



Amendments

Common examples of changes processed via Amendment:

- Addition of a <u>new</u> federal funded exempt project (outside of a lump sum breakout)
- Addition of a new non-exempt project regardless of fund source
- Deletion of an existing non-exempt project
- Major change in project limits or scope of an existing non-exempt project
- Shift of a non-exempt project from one model network year to another
- Major change in federal funding commitment on an existing project
- Any request classifiable as an administrative modification, but likely to be controversial



Two Amendment Categories

- A Long-Form Amendment includes an analysis of the impacts of proposed changes to the air quality of the Atlanta Region
 - Required when major changes to are to be made to non-exempt projects
 - Adds around two to three months to an Amendment schedule to allow for travel demand model coding edits, model runs and emissions analysis
 - Covers all scope/schedule/budget changes
 - Typically executed in the late summer or early autumn of a calendar year
- A <u>Short-Form Amendment</u> does not include an air quality analysis
 - No model changes, model runs, etc.
 - Covers all scope/schedule/budget changes to exempt projects
 - Covers financial and non-major scope/schedule changes to non-exempt projects





Major Changes to Non-Exempt Projects: Examples

- CST phase delayed beyond travel demand model network year
- Change in extents exceeding 10 percent of existing concept or planned corridor
- Changes to the planned capacity of the project

	Atlanta	a Regi	on's Plan	RTP (20:	16) PROJE	CT FACT	SHEET
Short Title	ALIGNMENT F	MCINTOSH PARKWAY: PHASES I AND II - NEW ALIGNMENT FROM CURRENT TERMINUS WEST OF NEWNAN ROSSING BYPASS TO INTRESECTION OF WASHINGTON STREET AND FARMER STREET			Pouth ST Contract	and Malador Mil	Pshley garkery
GDOT Project No.	N/A				Berry Ave		/
Federal ID No.	N/A				THE	-	Atal
Status	Programmed				- 10	100	the f
Service Type	Roadway / Ge	neral Purp	ose Capacity		a st	Jac Jac	Esri, HERE,
Sponsor	City of Newna	n				DeLoope	USGS. Intermap. P Corp., NRCAN
Jurisdiction	Coweta Count	y				Esri Japa	n, METI, Esri Offina ng), Esri (Thailand),
Analysis Level	In the Region	s Air Qualit	y Conformity Analys	is		alogh 5	
Existing Thru Lane	0		LCI		Network Year		-2020-2030
Planned Thru Lane	6 -2/4		Flex		Corridor Lengt	1.0	
Detailed Description	n and Justificat	ion			cornaor Lenga	· [1.	2.0 111163
The East Washington Str							
The East Washington Str between the emerging re congestion relief to State	egional center at Ne	wnan Cros					
between the emerging ra congestion relief to State	egional center at Ne Route 34 (Bullsbo	ewnan Cros ro Drive). FISCAL		Newnan Centra	I Business District. T	he roadway wil	I also provide
between the emerging ra congestion relief to State hase Status & Fundin formation	egional center at Ne Route 34 (Bullsbo	FISCAL YEAR	TOTAL PHASE COST	BREAKDOW FEDERAL	N OF TOTAL PHAS	he roadway wil E COST BY FUI BONDS	NDING SOURCE
between the emerging re congestion relief to State	egional center at Ne PRoute 34 (Bullsbo ality AUTH	FISCAL YEAR 2015	TOTAL PHASE COST \$713,000	BREAKDOW FEDERAL 10,000	N OF TOTAL PHASI STATE \$9,000	E COST BY FUI BONDS 30,000	NDING SOURCE LOCAL/PRIVATE \$713,000
between the emerging re congestion relief to State	egional center at Ne PRoute 34 (Bullsbo ality AUTH	FISCAL YEAR	TOTAL PHASE COST	BREAKDOW FEDERAL	N OF TOTAL PHAS	he roadway wil E COST BY FUI BONDS	NDING SOURCE
between the emerging r congestion relief to State states Status & Fundin formation PE Local Jurisdiction/Municip Funds Ucoal Jurisdiction/Municip Funds	egional center at Ne PRoute 34 (Bullsbo ality AUTH	FISCAL YEAR 2015	TOTAL PHASE COST \$713,000	BREAKDOW FEDERAL 10,000	N OF TOTAL PHASI STATE \$9,000	E COST BY FUI BONDS 30,000	NDING SOURCE LOCAL/PRIVATE \$713,000
between the emerging re congestion relief to State states Status & Fundin formation PE Local Jurisdiction/Municip Funds WI Local Jurisdiction/Municip Funds TI Georgia Transportation	ng Status ality AUTH ality AUTH	FISCAL YEAR 2015 2016	TOTAL PHASE COST \$713,000 \$1,080,638	BREAKDOW FEDERAL 30,000	N OF TOTAL PHAS	E COST BY FU BONDS \$0,000 \$0,000	NDING SOURCE LOCAL/PRIVATE \$713,000 \$1,000,630



Federal Funding Change Threshold

- The "\$4 million or 40 percent" rule is applied to determine whether an increase to federal funding can be handled administratively or requires an amendment
- The rule is applied to federal funds programmed to specific project activities*
 - If the current TIP federal amount is \$10M or less, the federal share may be increased by an additional \$4M administratively
 - If the current TIP federal amount is greater than \$10M, the cost may be increased by a maximum of 40 percent administratively, with a maximum increase of \$40M

* Not applicable to programmatic or lump sum items in TIP



13

Federal Funding Threshold Examples

Phase	Fiscal Year	Current Federal Share	Proposed Federal Share	Current Local Share	Proposed Local Share	Current Total Funding	Proposed Total Funding
ROW	2026	\$2,000,000	<mark>\$5,000,000</mark>	\$500,000	<mark>\$1,250,000</mark>	\$2,500,000	<mark>\$6,250,000</mark>

Notes

- The total federal amount currently programmed to ROW activities is <u>under</u> \$10M
- The proposed increase in federal funding is below \$4,000,000 (\$3,000,000)
- Interest the proposed change can be handled administratively





Federal Funding Threshold Examples (cont.)

Phase	Fiscal Year	Current Federal Share	Proposed Federal Share	Current Local Share	Proposed Local Share	Current Total Funding	Proposed Total Funding
ROW	2026	\$27,000,000	<mark>\$36,000,000</mark>	\$6,750,000	<mark>\$9,000,000</mark>	\$33,750,000	<mark>\$45,000,000</mark>

Notes

- The total federal amount currently programmed to ROW activities is <u>over</u> \$10M
- The proposed increase in federal funding is below 40 percent (33.33%)
- Interestion The proposed change can be handled administratively





Amendment Process

- ARC contacts TIP/MTP project sponsors (including GDOT) to inform them to submit change requests ahead of the submission deadline
 - TIP solicitation recommendations and requests in need of an amendment held from previous administrative modification cycles automatically incorporated
- After the deadline, ARC reviews requests and creates a draft change list, which is vetted through the Inter-Agency Consultation (IAC) group
 - Interagency consultation builds consensus on the air quality conformity status of each project considered for an amendment
- After IAC review, further coordination occurs with GDOT and GRTA
 - Transmittal and fine-tuning of any financial programming details



Amendment Process (cont.)

Air quality conformity analysis (if applicable)

- For conformity amendments, non-exempt projects are evaluated for their forecast impact to the region's air quality
- Requires several months of travel demand model runs and coding

Public comment period

- The public is given a period of time to review the full project list, project programming and draft air quality conformity determination report (if CDR is required)
- Upon close of the public comment period, a public comment report is released and the final amendment list is shared with the public and decision-making bodies which act on the amendment
 - ARC TCC
 - ARC TAQC (official policy body of the MPO)
 - ARC Board
 - GRTA Board (Governor's agent for TIP amendment approvals)



17

Amendment Process (cont.)

Informational Presentations to ARC Committees

- Prior to or during the public comment period, an informational "first read" will be given to Transportation Coordinating Committee (TCC) and the Transportation and Air Quality (TAQC) Committee.
- Example from Amendment 5's current schedule
 - Public Comment Period Begins: September 22, 2025
 - TCC Informational Presentation: October 3, 2025
 - TAQC Informational Presentation: October 8, 2025
 - Public Comment Period Ends: October 28, 2025
 - Action Steps Final Approval by TCC, TAQC, ARC Board, and GRTA: November 7-19, 2025



Amendment Process (cont.)

- Upon GRTA approval of the amendment, all amendment materials are transferred to US DOT for review
- US DOT may take up to 30 days to approve (or reject) the amendment ARC must wait for this approval before amending the TIP/MTP
- Upon US DOT approval, the amended TIP/MTP is posted to ARC's website and shared with sponsors via email
 - When possible, amendments are processed concurrently with administrative modifications



Upcoming Plan Revisions

Amendment #5

- Deadline to submit project requests that require modeling: March 21st
- Deadline to submit financial changes: August 1st
- Anticipated approval at GRTA: November 19th

2025 Q3 Administrative Modification

- Deadline to submit project requests: August 22nd
- Final processing date: September 26th

2025 Q4 Administrative Modification

- Deadline to submit project requests: October 31st
- Final processing date: December 5th





Public Participation Plan Revisions

- ARC is working a revision of the public participation plan for the TIP
- Will define two amendment categories
 - Long form CDR amendment
 - Covers all changes to exempt and non-exempt projects
 - Travel demand model is run
 - 30 day public comment period
 - Short form CDR amendment
 - Covers schedule and financial changes to exempt and non-exempt projects
 - No travel demand modeling
 - 15 day public comment period







Flex Projects from FHWA to FTA

- Must demonstrate a nexus to transit to be eligible
- Operator/Sponsor must be an FTA direct recipient (if not a direct recipient, must work with operator/sponsor/agency that has this FTA designation)

Direct Recipients – A	Atlanta Region
-----------------------	----------------

ATL Authority	Cobb	Cherokee	City of Atlanta
ARC	Gwinnett	Henry	
MARTA	Douglas	Rockdale	

- Applies to STBG-Urban, CRP, TAP, and CMAQ fund sources (LCI projects are funded under STBG-Urban)
- "Flexed" project approvals and administration handled by FTA



Flex Process Summary


- ARC flex-eligible funding programmed into the TIP
- ARC requests an FTA grant number from project sponsor
- ARC transmits a formal request to GDOT to initiate funds transfer
- Items included in letter:
 - ARC ID
 - GDOT PI Number
 - FTA Grant Number
 - Project Title
 - Federal Amount to be Transferred
- GDOT makes request to FHWA to transfer funds to FTA
- FHWA division office and HQ review and execute transfer to FTA
- FTA HQ programs funding into their database (TRAMS)
- FTA regional office notifies project sponsor of funding availability
- Project sponsor submits a final application in TRAMS for use on project
- **Flex project shown in TIP for additional two years until FTA grant approved











Questions



24