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9:00 - 9:15  Introductions and Purpose
9:15 – 10:00 Introduction to System Resilience
  - Definition and Benefits of Planning Ahead
  - Hazards and Impacts for the Atlanta Region
  - Examples from Across the Country
10:00 – 10:30 John Hibbard, Director of Operations, GDOT, Responding to (and Learning from) Transportation System Disruptions
10:30 – 10:45 Break
10:45 – 11:30 Presentation of ARC Vulnerability Assessment Framework and Next Steps
  - Incorporating Resilience into Regional & Local Planning
  - Application to Different Transportation Modes
  - Linkages to Planning and other Policy Issues
11:30 – 11:55 Paul Schramm, CDC – Climate Resilience and Public Health
11:55 – Noon Wrap Up
What is resiliency?

**AASHTO DEFINITION:**

The ability to prepare and plan for, absorb, recover from, or more successfully adapt to adverse events.
What are the Greatest Concerns for the Atlanta Region’s Resilience?

• Income inequality & social mobility
• Terrorism/accidents
• Extreme weather
• Climate change
• Water supply
• Economic diversity
Atlanta’s Resilience Challenge

This revitalized southern city looks to address growing challenges from inadequate transportation infrastructure and the connected risk of infrastructure.

SHOCKS AND STRESSES
- AGING INFRASTRUCTURE
- CYBER ATTACK
- DROUGHT
- ECONOMIC INEQUALITY
- INFRASTRUCTURE FAILURE
- RAINFALL FLOoding
- TERRORIST ATTACK
Just for transportation.....
WHY? Or, What are the Benefits of Considering Resiliency in Planning?

- Reliable and efficient transportation system
- Likely to become more important in future
- Places emphasis on physical “connections” (cascading effects, dependencies, etc.)
- Places emphasis on institutional “connections”
- Broader implications to other policy areas
- Federal requirements
“Climate change could end cheap credit for Georgia, local governments, Moody’s reports.”

--- David Pendered, SaportaReport, December 4, 2017

“Governments that are at risk for higher risks of climate shock are asked to explain how they are prepared to deal with the weather events associated with climate shocks.”
49 US Code § 5303

- It is in the national interest –
  - To encourage and promote the safe and efficient management, operations, and resilient development of surface transportation systems...
How is the US DOT Incorporating Resilience into Transportation Planning?

Federal rules focus on the need to address system resilience by urging a reduction of natural disaster vulnerability.

USDOT is working with States and MPOs to:
- Assess vulnerabilities
- Consider resilience in the transportation planning process
- Incorporate resilience in asset management plans
- Address resilience in project development and design
- Optimize operations and maintenance practices
Given that Greenhouse Gases will Continue to Accumulate in the Atmosphere...

How will Atlanta’s Climate Change in the Future?
What do Climate Models Say about Future Temperatures in the Atlanta Region?

### Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Days Above 92 °F</th>
<th>Consecutive Days above 92 °F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline – Historic</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-2050</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2040-2070</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2070-2099</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>5th Percentile Temperature</th>
<th>Avg. Number of Days Below Freezing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline – Historic</td>
<td>24°F</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-2050</td>
<td>25°F</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2040-2070</td>
<td>26°F</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2070-2099</td>
<td>29°F</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Factoids - Warmth**

- In the 2010s Atlanta’s seen an average of 32.4 days/year above 92°F
- 2007 GA Drought saw August with 28 days above 90°F
- By the end of the century our summer climate could be more like Houston, TX

**Factoids - Cold**

- Less extreme cold events will impact the plants that grow in our region - Atlanta region’s hardiness zone has increased by 1 since 1990 (from 7 to 8)
- Days per year with a freeze will drop by half by the end of the century to around 33 (similar to Tallahassee, Florida)
What do Climate Models Say about Future Precipitation in the Atlanta Region?

### Factoids - Precipitation

- In general, all emission scenarios think our region will tend to be wetter
- More large winter rainfall storm totals (1.5 times baseline)
- 10-20% more very heavy precipitation events annually
- About 50% more extreme precipitation events annually

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Avg. Total Annual Precipitation</th>
<th>Very Heavy Precipitation Events per Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline – Historic</td>
<td>52”</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-2050</td>
<td>54”</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2040-2070</td>
<td>54”</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2070-2099</td>
<td>54”</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How Do These Changes Impact People?
Impacts of Extreme Weather on Transportation

- **Aviation**
  - Temperature impacts weight of plane at take-off
  - Tarmac can melt

- **Roads**
  - Change in freeze/thaw cycle → maintenance
  - Roadways can buckle and wash out
    (2009 West Atlanta Flood)

- **Rail/Transit**
  - Rail can buckle in extreme heat
  - Transit agencies run less frequent trains in extreme heat

Douglas County Post Road 1 mile South of I-20
Impacts of Extreme Weather on Communities

- Public Health
  - Heat-related illness
  - Migration of people and disease

- Economic Competitiveness
  - Loss of crops & change in growing season
  - Loss of tourist land & infrastructure
North Carolina

October, 2016, Hurricane Matthew

Forecasters were caught off guard...expecting mostly a wind event with impacts limited to coastal areas.
North Carolina

- **8 inches** of rainfall caused rivers and basins to flood quickly
- **1,600** roads were flooded
- **Several dams** in the state were threatened as a result of the rainfall and erosion
- The storm resulted in **26 fatalities**, many involving individuals who drowned in automobiles
- Property damage in the state was estimated at **$1.6 billion**
Coordinating and planning for road detours is a challenge, as in-car GPS routing systems do not recognize state detours.

Road detour signs and barriers were ignored by some drivers, leading to drownings in certain cases.

Interdepartmental and inter-agency communication and coordination across the state is key.

Agreements and policy coordination essential.
Major 500- and 1,000-year floods in 2016 caused rivers throughout the state to reach record levels as rainfall exceeded 2-3 inches per hour, and nearly two feet in total in some areas.
Louisiana

- **58 parishes** were declared disaster areas
- In 2016, **every interstate in Louisiana was closed** at some point
- Flooding of the Sabine River **closed I-10 for days** at the Texas / Louisiana border; no nearby alternate routes → lengthy detours
- Significant development in flooded areas ---impacts worse
Communicating to the public via social media is imperative. “Old ways” like radio less effective

Many LaDOTD staff experienced personal emergencies, which strained the DOT’s capacity to respond

Relationships with other federal agencies (not just DOT) are important for disaster planning and response
Cross Cutting Findings

We found three key categories:

**EMERGENCY RESPONSE**
- Roseau Flood Risk Management
  - Montana, 2015

**PLANNING AND DESIGN**
- Charlotte Hurricane Irene Response
  - North Carolina, 2011

**EXTERNAL COORDINATION**
- Clearing taxiways at Atlanta Airport
  - Georgia, 2014
Transportation Planning and Resilience
Relationship Between Resiliency and Transportation Planning

• Resiliency as a trend/factor in future system performance (scenarios)

• ...... as part of the vision of a resilient and sustainable transportation system

• ...... as reflected in system performance measures

• ...... as part of defining state or regionally significant parts of the network (redundancy)
Relationship Between Resiliency and Transportation Planning

• Resiliency as helping to define parts of the study area where special consideration might be necessary during project development process

• ….. as part of the data collection and analysis process

• ….. as part of the evaluation and project prioritization process

• ….. as part of the system performance monitoring effort
Considering Resilience in Planning and Policy

Minimal

Proactive

Resiliency

Goals  Performance Metrics  Data Background/Analysis  Peer Assessment and Application  Area- and Site-specific Studies  Network Analysis  Scenario Planning  Prioritization Criteria
South Florida...Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Counties

Transportation Planning and Prioritization

• Plan goals statement and prioritization criteria
• Performance measures
• Tools

Rehabilitation or Reconstruction of Existing Facilities in High Risk Area

• Road and transit design approaches and standards
• SLR as a “given”
• Drainage systems
• Asset and maintenance management systems
South Florida...Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Counties

New Facility on New ROW in High Risk Areas
List above plus, realignments or relocation

Operations and Maintenance
Detour routes
Emergency response strategies
Harden assets
Maintain drainage systems
Responding to (and Learning from) Transportation System Disruptions

John Hibbard, Director of Operations, Georgia Department of Transportation
Community resilience is the ability to prepare for anticipated hazards, adapt to changing conditions, and withstand and recover rapidly from disruptions.
Goal 1: Manage the security risks to the physical, human, and cyber elements of critical transportation infrastructure.

Goal 2: Employ the Sector’s response, recovery, and coordination capabilities to support whole community resilience.

Goal 3: Implement processes for effective collaboration to share mission-essential information across sectors, jurisdictions, and disciplines, as well as between public and private stakeholders.

Goal 4: Enhance the all-hazards preparedness and resilience of the global transportation system to safeguard U.S. national interests.
Department of Homeland Security – Critical Infrastructure

Critical Infrastructure Risk Management Framework (RMF)
FHWA Vulnerability Assessment Framework
Identify key policy linkages to transportation and climate change-related disruptions

Identify critical assets, facilities and/or services in the network

Identify vulnerabilities of highway and transit system to these changing conditions—exposure, sensitivity and adaptive capacity

Conduct risk appraisal of vulnerabilities and environmental changes

Identify predominant climate change trends and factors for region

Identify impact of these changes on local environmental conditions

Impact on resiliency performance measures

Impact on goals and objectives

Impact on other policy areas, such as public health

Related performance measures

Analysis tools and approaches for regional and local planning

Priority criteria for project selection

Supporting efforts by partner agencies

Vulnerability Assessment Framework for ARC
Identify Key Policy Linkages to Transportation and Climate Change-related Disruptions

Hillsborough County MPO (Tampa)
Middle Tennessee is resilient to the climate and non-climate stressors facing the region. Government, business, the natural and built environment, and people are minimally impacted by these risks, and the region supports livable, prosperous, sustainable, and diverse communities.

“Success would mean that regional and community leaders across all sectors and jurisdictions prioritize and sustain collaborative action for climate resilience.”

http://www.nashvillempo.org/docs/BuildingResilience_DRAFT.pdf
Nashville Area MPO

Goal 1: The region implements preemptive adaptation measures and responses to extreme weather events that are planned, coordinated, and timely.

Goal 2: The leaders and residents of the region value and protect water resources and prioritize improved water quality and conservation for the benefit of human and natural systems.

Goal 3: The region’s growth and development promotes equitable prosperity and is sustainable for people and natural resources.

Goal 4: The region’s leaders and organizations work collaboratively and effectively in all resilience actions.

http://www.nashvillempo.org/docs/BuildingResilience_DRAFT.pdf
Goal 1: The region implements preemptive adaptation measures and responses to extreme weather events that are planned, coordinated, and timely.

1. Conduct detailed transportation infrastructure vulnerability assessment to extreme weather within the MPO planning area. MPO, TDOT, FHWA, VU
2. Map service gaps of storm shelters throughout the region. MPO, OEMs, the Red Cross, TSU
3. Ensure that all emergency response and hazard mitigation plans consider the impacts of climate change to the region and are including this information in their plans. MPO, OEMs
4. Participate in decision making process for flood wall for downtown Nashville. TBD
5. Conduct heat mapping for urban areas. MPO, TPL
6. Conduct workshops for vulnerable populations on emergency preparedness and access to services. SEARS, OEMs, TIRRC, the Red Cross
7. Develop climate metrics for MPO evaluation of proposed transportation projects. MPO, TDOT, FHWA
8. Ensure adequate maintenance of existing transportation infrastructure.

http://www.nashvillemppo.org/docs/BuildingResilience_DRAFT.pdf
Relationship Between Resiliency and Transportation Planning

Puget Sound Regional Commission

“Resilience and Sustainability”
Identify Critical Assets, Facilities and/or Services in the Network

Critical Assets in Tampa
Identify Vulnerabilities of Highway and Transit System to These Changing Conditions—Exposure, Sensitivity and Adaptive Capacity

Nashville Area MPO

http://www.nashvillempo.org/docs/BuildingResilience_DRAFT.pdf
# Extreme Weather Sensitivity Thresholds in Austin, Texas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Modes Affected</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>Highways, Rail, Transit</td>
<td>General flood risk increases when &gt;2” in less than 12 hours; Rural roads &gt;3.44” in 24 hours; principal arterials &gt;7.64” in 24 hours; Major highways &gt;10.2” in 24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement cracking or other deterioration</td>
<td>Highways, Aviation</td>
<td>Extended temps. &gt;100°F; average 7-day max. temp &gt;108°F; drought lasting longer than 14 days; alternating wet and dry weather patterns; extremely wet conditions for &gt;1 month; temps. &lt; 50°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal misalignment</td>
<td>Rail</td>
<td>Risk increases when surface temps. &gt;100 - 115°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air conditioning stress and failures</td>
<td>Rail, Transit, Aviation</td>
<td>Temps. &gt;100 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited ability for maintenance and construction work</td>
<td>Highways, Rail, Transit</td>
<td>Temps. &gt;100 °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icy, unsafe road conditions</td>
<td>Highways</td>
<td>Surface temps &lt; 32 °F and precipitation (any)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage to switches</td>
<td>Rail</td>
<td>Surface temps &lt; 32 °F and (precipitation &gt; 3/16” of ice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildfire</td>
<td>Highways, Rail, Transit</td>
<td>Drought index &gt; 575; relative humidity &lt;20%; winds &gt; 15-20 mph; La Nina conditions favoring wildfire outbreaks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mobile, AL – Identifying Vulnerabilities

Highway Assessment Included “Redundancy” Test

Applied Forecasting Model
1. Tested loss of 18 selected links in highway network
2. Based on V/C ratios for peak hour
3. Determine whether remainder of system can accommodate travel patterns
Identify Predominant Climate Change Trends and Factors for Region

Albuquerque, New Mexico
Implications for the Region

- Transportation-related
  - Higher maintenance costs (e.g., faster pavement deterioration)
  - Construction and operations implications (e.g., shorter construction season)
  - More damage from extreme events (e.g., flash floods, wildfires, and landslides)
- Land Use/Regional Planning
  - More frequent water shortages
  - Greater power demand
  - Higher vulnerability for development near riparian areas/on the urban-wildland interface

Mid-Region Council of Governments
Example Application – Bridge over River

Identify predominant trends and factors for region

- Precipitation
- Heat
- Terrorist attack
- Major crash

Identify impact of these changes on local conditions

- Precipitation $\Rightarrow$ Flooding?
- Heat $\Rightarrow$ Locally high temperatures over extended period
- Terrorist attack $\Rightarrow$ Destructive capability
- Major crash $\Rightarrow$ Lengthy closure
Example Application – Bridge over River

Identify vulnerabilities of highway and transit system to these changing conditions—exposure, sensitivity and adaptive capacity

- Precipitation ➔ Deck overtopping? Scour? Bank erosion?
- Heat ➔ Materials resiliency?
- Terrorist attack ➔ Level of blockage and ability to still serve traffic/system redundancy
- Major crash ➔ Lengthy closure/system redundancy
Example Application – Bridge over River

Conduct risk appraisal of vulnerabilities and changes

- Likelihood of event
- Person and vehicle trips on facility
- Person and vehicle trips on system
- Freight movement
- Economic role and function
- Community impact
- Public safety impact
- Etc.
Risk Appraisal

I-85 Bridge Deck Collapse
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Example Application – Bridge over River

Impact on goals and objectives
- Related performance measures
- Priority criteria for project selection

Analysis tools and approaches for regional and local planning

Impact on other policy areas, such as public health

Supporting efforts by partner agencies
Performance Measures

Federal Requirement

Percent of the Person-Miles Traveled on the Interstate That Are Reliable

Percent of the Person-Miles Traveled on the Non-Interstate NHS That Are Reliable

Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index

Annual Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay Per Capita Percent of Non-SOV Travel
Performance Measures

System Characteristics

• Condition data (risk-based asset management)
• Designated detour routes
• Number of critical assets with low risk scores
• Continuity of service plan updates
• Incident response rates
• Probability of failure (Seattle ferry system)
• Others?
Linkage to Other Policy Areas
Climate Resilience and Public Health

Paul Schramm, CDC
Thank you