



Atlanta Regional Commission

## **TRANSPORTATION COORDINATING COMMITTEE**

### **November 9, 2018 Meeting Notes**

#### **TCC Members or Alternates Present:**

<i>ARC</i>	John Orr		
<i>Atlanta</i>	Jason Morgan	<i>Fulton</i>	Roussan Francois
<i>Barrow</i>	---	<i>GDOT</i>	Phil Peevy
<i>Cherokee</i>	---	<i>GRTA/SRTA</i>	Parker Martin
<i>Clayton</i>	---	<i>Gwinnett</i>	Vince Edwards
<i>Cobb</i>	Aries Little	<i>Henry</i>	---
<i>Coweta</i>	Tavores Edwards	<i>MARTA</i>	Chris Silveira
<i>DeKalb</i>	Sylvia Smith	<i>Newton</i>	---
<i>Douglas</i>	---	<i>Paulding</i>	Ann Lippmann
<i>EPD</i>	Gil Grodzinsky	<i>Rockdale</i>	Genetha Walker
<i>Fayette</i>	Phil Mallon	<i>Spalding</i>	BJ Martin
<i>Forsyth</i>	John Cunard	<i>Walton</i>	---
<i>MD-1</i>	---	<i>MD-4</i>	Michelle Wright
<i>MD-2</i>	---	<i>MD-5</i>	---
<i>MD-3</i>	---	<i>MD-6</i>	James Miller

#### **Advisors (Non-voting):**

<i>CBMPO</i>	---
<i>GHMPO</i>	Sam Baker
<i>GDOT I'modal</i>	Ryan Walker
<i>FHWA</i>	Tamara Christian
<i>FTA</i>	---

## **Transportation Coordinating Committee – Meeting Summary**

*John Orr, Chair*

### **1. Welcome - Acceptance of 10/19/2018 TCC Meeting Summary; Public Comment period**

John Orr asked if there were changes to the meeting summary. Hearing no additional comments, the summary was accepted as presented. Mr. Orr asked for public comments. There were none.

### **2. ACTION ITEM – Issue Summary and Resolution for the FY 2018-2023 TIP Amendment #6**

Patrick Bradshaw, ARC, introduced TIP Amendment #6. One comment was received during the public comment period from GDOT who wanted a previous request of theirs retracted.

A motion to approve was made by Chris Silveira, MARTA, and seconded by Michelle Wright, Municipal District 4. The vote was unanimous, and the motion passed.

### **3. ACTION ITEM – Issue Summary and Resolution for ARC Concurrence with State Performance Targets**

David Haynes, ARC, reviewed the State Performance Targets. There are 13 targets relating to five subject areas including pavement condition, bridge condition, reliability, congestion, and air quality. Concurring with these targets does not mean ARC will not take steps to try to surpass the targets. Also, the targets are updated relatively frequently at no more than 5-year intervals depending on the target. ARC has been incrementally incorporating all measures and targets into the RTP and into the TIP through amendments.

A motion to approve was made by Chris Silveira, MARTA and seconded by Jason Morgan, City of Atlanta. The vote was unanimous. Motion passed.

### **4. ACTION ITEM - Issue Summary and Resolution for the 2019 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)**

John Orr, ARC, introduced the 2019 UPWP, which is required by FHWA and FTA to continue using planning grants.

A motion to approve was made by Tavoires Edwards, Coweta and seconded by Aries Little, Cobb. The vote was unanimous, and the motion passed.

### **5. ACTION ITEM - Issue Summary and DRAFT Resolution Granting the ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Group Manager Authority to Affirm GHMPO and CBMPO Amendments**

John Orr, ARC, explained this resolution which would permit the ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Group Manager to affirm other MPO's TIP Amendments on behalf of the MPO, when required. The EPA helped draft this resolution to ensure requirements are met while maintaining flexibility of schedules. ARC concurrence will be established as soon as the Transportation Air Quality Committee approves the resolution at their next meeting.

A motion to approve was made by Sylvia Smith, DeKalb and seconded by Chris Silveira, MARTA. The vote was unanimous, and the motion passed.

## **6. Draft Concept 3 Update Discussion – First Read**

Lori Sand, ARC, reviewed the draft Concept 3 Regional Transit Strategy. Concept 3 was originally adopted in 2008 most recently updated in 2012. The current update was a technical update to evaluate the project list. The evaluation began by using the TIP project evaluation criteria. Then all projects were run through two models, Conveyal for accessibility measures and Remix for analyzing stop catchment areas. Many performance measures were considered for each project including reliability, connectivity, social equity, and more. The plan includes a project sheet for each project with its performance measure results. The next steps for Concept 3 include incorporating it into the RTP and the ATL Planning Framework.

An interactive web map is also available for this plan and is located here: <http://arcg.is/0qyvGy>

Action on this plan will be in January. Any comments should be submitted by November 30<sup>th</sup>.

### *Discussion*

The ability to compare travel time savings between two different modes, for example driving vs transit, is outside of the scope of this project, but will be considered as we continue our transit planning work.

Emissions are not specific to this evaluation process but is a criterion for TIP project evaluation.

There are certain differences in how projects were evaluated based on their mode type, for example certain modes were given more or less spacing in-between stops. All those details are outlined in the plan.

## **7. Regional Plan Update**

David Haynes, ARC, gave an update on the RTP. An RTP update includes many aspects including reflecting on the main purpose of the plan, reviewing the strengths and weakness of the past four years, updating any demographic modelling if needed, updating project scopes, schedules, and costs, and conducting public engagement. For this update, major revisions include a new horizon year of 2050, the Major Mobility Investment Program, new federal performance measures, and new state performance targets.

### *Discussion*

While some CTPs will not be finished before the RTP update deadline, which is federally mandated and cannot be changed, ARC will make every effort to include preliminary information and make smaller iterative updates after the plan is finalized.

Guy Rousseau, ARC, reviewed the forecasting and modelling process that will be used to update the RTP. The demographic and land use forecast modelling process is fully integrated with the transportation activity-based modelling process. An iterative process is used to ensure each model informs the other.

### *Discussion*

Roads that are too small do not get coded into the network. Small area modelling would need to be used for smaller local roads.

The onboard survey will not be incorporated into this RTP but will be incorporated for the next RTP Update.

GDOT has several plans that will also have a horizon year of 2050.

David D'Onofrio, ARC, updated the group on the Policy Framework. When the Policy Framework was reviewed by staff, TCC and TAQC, three major updates to the regional priorities were identified: technology, affordable housing, and resiliency. Through discussions with both committees it was decided that the current policies were sufficient to address these priorities, but that an additional document should be created, which would get to more specific action the agency is taking related to its policies.

Melissa Roberts, ARC, discussed the public engagement strategies that will be used for this plan. It will include several methods including small working groups and surveys. The questions included will be broader than previous engagements for example, how people engage with ARC or with their local government. Also, ARC will be getting more feedback on the future scenario web app that was created through the SHARP-2 grant. A stakeholder engagement plan is also being written with more detail about engagement for this plan and beyond.

### *Discussion*

Thanks to help from TCC members, our MetroQuest survey, which tracked respondents zip codes, had good participation from outer counties. More people from outer counties continued to be engaged with ARC after that. ARC will continue to work with TCC members to better engage with people in their counties.

ARC will organize a presentation for TCC about the results of the Civic Dinners.

### **Announcements**

1. Upcoming project solicitation will be postponed until after the close of state fiscal year 2019, which is the end of June. The reason is that cost estimates are increasing significantly for ROW and will need to review the balance after the fiscal year.
2. Meetings will be scheduled at county and city levels to discuss plans and projects to understand what each vision is from transportation and land use stand point and to see how projects are supporting that vision. To be scheduled after the first quarter most likely.
3. Let David Haynes know if any CTPs are experiencing any delays.
4. TAQC is interested in seeing more local plan presentations at their meetings. If anyone is interested in presenting their CTP or other local plan let David Haynes know.

Adjourned.